

# EXHIBIT A



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 17, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Behram Parekh  
Kirtland & Packard LLP  
2041 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 300  
El Segundo, CA 90245  
[bvp@kirtlandpackard.com](mailto:bvp@kirtlandpackard.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Johnson v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:16-cv-04288-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Johnson\_Anita\_16-cv-04288\_1\_Johnson\_Anita\_16-cv-04288\_1"

Johnson\_Anita\_16-  
cv-  
04288\_1\_Johnson\_Ani-  
ta\_16-cv-04288\_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Johnson  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Anita  
CASE NO. - 16-cv-04288  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 09, 10  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kirtland Packard  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - bvp@kirtlandpackard.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 17, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Behram Parekh  
Kirtland & Packard LLP  
2041 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 300  
El Segundo, CA 90245  
[bvp@kirtlandpackard.com](mailto:bvp@kirtlandpackard.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Kauanui v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:16-cv-04290-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Kauanui\_Emery\_16-cv-04290\_1\_Kauanui\_Emery\_16-cv-04290\_1"

Kauanui\_Emery\_16-  
cv-  
04290\_1\_Kauanui\_Em  
ery\_16-cv-04290\_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Kauani  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Emery  
CASE NO. - 16-cv-04290  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 09, 10  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kirtland Packard  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - bvp@kirtlandpackard.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

May 29, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

David Hodges  
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.  
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200  
Houston, TX, 77006  
[mtg@kennedyhodges.com](mailto:mtg@kennedyhodges.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
Warren v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-00435-JNE-FLN*

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Warren\_Debbie\_17-cv-435\_1\_Warren\_Debbie\_17-cv-435\_1"

Warren\_Debbie\_17-  
cv-  
435\_1\_Warren\_Debbie  
\_17-cv-435\_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Warren

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Debbie

CASE NO. - 17-cv-435

SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -

SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete

SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16

SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -

SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete

SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete

SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete

SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete

X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete

X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete

I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com

# EXHIBIT B



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 17, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Kirk J. Goza  
Gozza & Honnold, LLC  
11181 Overbrook Road, Suite 200  
Leawood, KS 66211  
[mlowrey@gohonlaw.com](mailto:mlowrey@gohonlaw.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Chavers v. 3M Company* Case No.: 0:16-cv-00514-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Chavers\_Darryl\_016cv-00514\_01\_Chavers\_Darryl\_016cv-00514"

Chavers\_Darryl\_016cv  
-00514\_01\_Chavers\_D  
arryl\_016cv-00514

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Chavers  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Darryl  
CASE NO. - 016cv-00514\_01  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Goza & Honnold, LLC  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - kgoza@gohonlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 17, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Daniel A. Nigh

Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.  
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400  
Pensacola, Florida 32502  
[dnigh@levinlaw.com](mailto:dnigh@levinlaw.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Chenoweth v. 3M Company* Case No.: 0:16-cv-02213-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Chenoweth \_Mark  
\_016-cv-  
02213\_1\_Chenoweth  
\_Mark\_016-cv-  
02213\_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Chenoweth

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Mark

CASE NO. - 0:16-cv-02213

SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -

SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -

SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS -

SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -

SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -

SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -

SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -

SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -

SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -

SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -

SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -

SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -

SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -

SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -

SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -

SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -

SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -

SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -

SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -

SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -

SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -

SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -

SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -

SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -

X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -

X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete (Updated Verification Required)

X.02.D.1 - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION (NON-PFS RESPONSE) - NA

I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Levin, Papantonio

I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dnigh@levinlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 17, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

David Hodges  
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.  
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200  
Houston, TX, 77006  
[mtg@kennedyhodges.com](mailto:mtg@kennedyhodges.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*King v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:16-cv-02428-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "King\_Jr\_Walter\_16-cv-02428\_01\_King\_Jr\_Walter\_16-cv-02428"

King\_Jr\_Walter\_16-  
cv-  
02428\_01\_King\_Jr\_W  
alter\_16-cv-02428

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - King Jr  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Walter H  
CASE NO. - 16-cv-02428\_01  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 06, 08, 09, 10  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03, 04, 05  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 24, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Annesley H. DeGaris  
DeGaris & Rogers, LLC  
Two North Twentieth Street, Suite 1030  
Birmingham, AL 35203  
adegaris@degarislaw.com

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Moses v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:16-cv-03062-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Moses\_Aneita\_016-cv-03062\_1\_01\_Moses\_Aneita\_016-cv-03062\_1"

Moses\_Aneita\_016-cv-03062\_1\_01\_Moses\_Aneita\_016-cv-03062\_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Moses  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Anieta A  
CASE NO. - 016-cv-03062\_01\_01  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -  
X.02.D.1 - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION (NON-PFS RESPONSE) -  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - DeGaris & Rogers  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - adegaris@degarislaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 24, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Daniel A. Nigh

Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.  
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400  
Pensacola, Florida 32502  
[dnigh@levinlaw.com](mailto:dnigh@levinlaw.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Redford v. 3M Company* Case No.: 0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Redford\_Melissa\_16-cv-02055\_01\_Redford\_Melissa-Final\_Draft\_PFS"

Redford\_Melissa\_16-  
cv-  
02055\_01\_Redford\_Me  
lissa-Final\_Draft\_PFS

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Redford

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Melissa

CASE NO. - 16-cv-02055\_01

SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -

SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete

SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16

SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -

SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -

SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -

SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -

SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -

SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -

SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -

SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -

SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -

SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete

SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete

SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -

X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -

X.02,D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete

X.02,D.1 - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION (NON-PFS RESPONSE) - Incomplete

I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Levin Papantonio

I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dnigh@levinlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 24, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Daniel A. Nigh  
Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.  
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400  
Pensacola, Florida 32502  
[dnigh@levinlaw.com](mailto:dnigh@levinlaw.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Stewart v. 3M Company* Case No.: 0:16-cv-00821-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Stewart\_Jasmine\_16-cv-00821\_01\_Stewart\_Jasmine--Final\_Draft\_PFS"

Stewart\_Jasmine\_16-  
cv-  
00821\_01\_Stewart\_Jas-  
mine--  
Final\_Draft\_PFS

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Stewart

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Jasmine

CASE NO. - 16-cv-00821\_01

SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -

SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete

SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16

SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -

SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete

SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete

SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete

SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete

SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -

SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -

SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -

SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -

SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete

SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete

SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -

X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete

X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete

X.02.D.1 - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION (NON-PFS RESPONSE) - Incomplete

I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Levin, Papantonio

I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dnigh@levinlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

March 28, 2017

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Gary S. Gynkewich  
Gynkewich Law Offices  
2800 Hualapai Mountain Road, Suite B  
Kingman, AZ 86401  
[gary@gynklaw.com](mailto:gary@gynklaw.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
Van Wart et al v. 3M Company Case No.: 0:16-cv-00625-JNE-FLN*

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Van Wart\_Louis\_016-cv-000625-JNE-FLN\_01\_Van\_Wart\_Louis\_016-cv-Van Wart\_Louis\_016-000625-JNE-FLN"  
cv-000625-JNE-FLN\_01\_Van\_Wart\_Louis\_016-cv-000625-JNE-FLN

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Van Wart  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Louis F.  
CASE NO. - 016-cv-000625-JNE-FLN\_01  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Grynkewich Law Offices  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - gary@grynklaw.com  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Complete  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Complete  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Complete  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Complete  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Complete  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Complete  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Complete  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Complete  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Complete  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Complete  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Complete  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Complete  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Complete  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Complete  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Complete  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Complete  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Complete  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Complete  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Complete  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Complete  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Complete  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION – Incomplete (Updated Verification Required)



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 17, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

David Hodges  
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.  
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200  
Houston, TX, 77006  
[mtg@kennedyhodges.com](mailto:mtg@kennedyhodges.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Zenner v. 3M Company* Case No.: 0:16-cv-01774-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Zenner\_Allen\_16-cv-1774\_01\_Zenner\_Allen\_16-cv-1774"

Zenner\_Allen\_16-cv-1774\_01\_Zenner\_Allen\_16-cv-1774

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Zenner  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Allen  
CASE NO. - 16-cv-1774\_01  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 24, 2017

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Daniel A. Nigh

Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.  
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400  
Pensacola, Florida 32502  
[dnigh@levinlaw.com](mailto:dnigh@levinlaw.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Zivanovich v. 3M Company* Case No.: 0:16-cv-02957-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Zivanovich\_Eric\_16-cv-02957\_01\_Zivanovich\_Eric-\_Final\_Draft\_PFS" Zivanovich\_Eric\_16-cv-02957\_01\_Zivanovich\_Eric-\_Final\_Draft\_PFS

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Zivanovich  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Eric  
CASE NO. - 16-cv-02957\_01  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS -  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -  
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS -  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -  
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -  
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete  
X.02.D.1 - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION (NON-PFS RESPONSE) - Incomplete  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Levin Papantonio  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dnigh@levinlaw.com

# EXHIBIT C



LEVIN • PAPANTONIO  
THOMAS • MITCHELL  
RAFFERTY & PROCTOR • P.A.  
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AT LAW

KIMBERLY LAMBERT ADAMS	MARTIN H. LEVIN	A. RENEE PRESTON	OF COUNSEL:
BRIAN H. BARR	M. JUSTIN LUSKO	ROBERT E. PRICE	LAURA S. DUNNING
MICHAEL C. BIXBY	ANDREW E. MCGRAW	MARK J. PROCTOR	(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA)
M. ROBERT BLANCHARD	NEIL E. McWILLIAMS, JR.	TROY A. RAFFERTY	BEN W. GORDON, JR.
BRANDON L. BOGLE	CLAY MITCHELL	MATTHEW D. SCHULTZ	ROBERT M. LOEHR
WESLEY A. BOWDEN	PETER J. MOUGHEY	W. CAMERON STEPHENSON	PAGE A. POERSCHKE
VIRGINIA M. BUCHANAN	DANIEL A. NIGH	LEO A. THOMAS	(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA)
WILLIAM F. CASH III	TIMOTHY M. O'BRIEN	BRETT VIGODSKY	LEFFERTS L. MABIE, JR. (1925-1996)
JEFF GADDY	MIKE PAPANTONIO	MALLORY J. MANGOLD	D.L. MIDDLEBROOKS (1926-1997)
RACHAEL R. GILMER	CHRISTOPHER G. PAULOS	(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA AND MISSISSIPPI)	DAVID H. LEVIN (1928-1992)
FREDRIC G. LEVIN	EMMIE J. PAULOS		STANLEY B. LEVIN (1938-2009)

OF COUNSEL:  
LAURA S. DUNNING  
(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA)  
BEN W. GORDON, JR.  
ROBERT M. LOEHR  
PAGE A. POERSCHKE  
(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA)  
LEFFERTS L. MABIE, JR. (1925-1996)  
D.L. MIDDLEBROOKS (1926-1997)  
DAVID H. LEVIN (1928-1992)  
STANLEY B. LEVIN (1938-2009)

MAY 12 2017

BY: CK

May 12, 2017

**VIA FEDEX**

Benjamin W. Hulse  
Blackwell Burke P.A  
431 South Seventh Street  
Suite 2500  
Minneapolis, MN 55415  
bhulse@blackwellburke.com

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Melissa Redford v. 3M Company*, Case No.: 0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, please see attached documentation to cure deficiencies for the above captioned case.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Nigh

DAN/jw  
Enclosure

Response to Deficiencies

*Melissa Redford v. 3M Company* Case No.: 0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN

---

Deficiencies cannot be cured at this time as we have not been able to contact client but will continue to make a diligent effort to do so.



LEVIN • PAPANTONIO  
THOMAS • MITCHELL  
RAFFERTY & PROCTOR • P.A.  
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AT LAW

KIMBERLY LAMBERT ADAMS  
BRIAN H. BARR  
MICHAEL C. BIXBY  
M. ROBERT BLANCHARD  
BRANDON L. BOGLE  
W. TROY BOUK  
WESLEY A. BOWDEN  
VIRGINIA M. BUCHANAN  
WILLIAM F. CASH III  
JEFF GADDY  
RACHAEL R. GILMER  
FREDRIC G. LEVIN

MARTIN H. LEVIN  
STEPHEN A. LUONGO  
M. JUSTIN LUSKO  
ANDREW E. McGRAW  
NEIL E. McWILLIAMS, JR.  
CLAY MITCHELL  
PETER J. MOUGHEY  
DANIEL A. NIGH  
TIMOTHY M. O'BRIEN  
MIKE PAPANTONIO  
CHRISTOPHER G. PAULOS  
EMMIE J. PAULOS

A. RENEE PRESTON  
ROBERT E. PRICE  
MARK J. PROCTOR  
TROY A. RAFFERTY  
MATTHEW D. SCHULTZ  
W. CAMERON STEPHENSON  
LEO A. THOMAS  
BRETT VIGODSKY  
MALLORY J. MANGOLD  
(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA  
AND MISSISSIPPI)

OF COUNSEL:  
LAURA S. DUNNING  
(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA)  
BEN W. GORDON, JR.  
ROBERT M. LOEHR  
PAGE A. POERSCHKE  
(LICENSED ONLY IN ALABAMA)

LEEFFERTS L. MABIE, JR. (1925-1996)  
D.L. MIDDLEBROOKS (1926-1997)  
DAVID H. LEVIN (1928-2002)  
STANLEY B. LEVIN (1935-2009)

RECEIVED  
JUN 30 2017

June 29, 2017

BT: CK

**VIA FEDEX**

Benjamin W. Hulse  
Blackwell Burke P.A.  
431 South Seventh Street.  
Suite 2500  
Minneapolis, MN 55415  
bhulse@blackwellburke.com

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Melissa Redford v. 3M Company, Case No.: 0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN*

Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, please see the attached reverification page for previously cured deficiencies for the above captioned matter.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Nigh

DAN/jw  
Enclosure

Response to Deficiencies

*Melissa Redford v. 3M Company*, Case No.: 0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN

---

**Reverification Page**

Pursuant to cure the defendant's deficiency and abide by Pre Trial Order 14, I declare that the given response(s) are true.

**REVERIFICATION**

melissa Redford  
Print Name

Melissa R Redford  
Signature

6-22-17  
Date

# EXHIBIT D

**From:** Ben Hulse  
**Sent:** Friday, July 14, 2017 4:15 PM  
**To:** 'JoanEricksen\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <[JoanEricksen\\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)>;  
'Noel\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <[Noel\\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)>  
**Cc:** Jerry Blackwell <[blackwell@blackwellburke.com](mailto:blackwell@blackwellburke.com)>; 'Ahmann, Bridget M.'  
<[Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com](mailto:Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com)>; 'gzimmerman@meshbesher.com'  
<[gzimmerman@meshbesher.com](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesher.com)>; 'bgordon@levinlaw.com' <[bgordon@levinlaw.com](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com)>;  
'MVC@ciresiconlin.com' <[MVC@ciresiconlin.com](mailto:MVC@ciresiconlin.com)>; 'JMC@CiresiConlin.com' <[JMC@CiresiConlin.com](mailto:JMC@CiresiConlin.com)>  
**Subject:** Bair Hugger, MDL 2666 -- Defendants' lists of PFS disputes for July 2017

Dear Judge Erickson,

Even though next week's status conference has been cancelled, Defendants would still like to list cases with Plaintiff Fact Sheet disputes, as provided by PTO 14, paragraph 7, Dkt. No. 117.

When the Court cancelled the March 2017 status conference, it issued an order stating that the PFS disputes on the lists submitted by Defendants were "deemed 'addressed to the Court' notwithstanding the fact that there will be no in-court conference this month." Dkt. No. 270.

Defendants respectfully request that the Court do the same this month, and deem the disputes on these lists "addressed to the Court." We anticipate that Plaintiffs' Co-Lead counsel will share these lists with other plaintiffs' counsel, as they have done in prior months, so there is no need for the Court to formally list the cases.

Best regards,  
Ben Hulse  
Counsel for Defendants

Benjamin W. Hulse  
Blackwell Burke P.A.  
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500  
Minneapolis, MN 55415  
Direct (612) 343-3256  
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
 (As of July 14, 2017)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Comments	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04360-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Spaich et al v. 3M Company et al	12/27/2016	3/27/2017	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 7.04.17 (D.E. 590)	4/14/17; 6/8/17	McGlynn, Glissoin & Mouton
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00188-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Stouffer v. 3M Company et al	1/20/2017	4/20/2017		6/8/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04353-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Butkus v. 3M Company et al	12/27/2016	4/21/2017	Extention granted to 4/21/17 but no PFS received	6/8/2017	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00299-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Raymond v. 3M Company Inc et al	1/30/2017	4/30/2017		6/8/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00350-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sanders v. 3M Company et al	2/2/2017	5/3/2017		6/8/2017	The Miller Firm, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00413-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Swank et al v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2017	5/8/2017		6/8/2017	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00597-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Perez v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2017	5/28/2017		6/8/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00767-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Garger et al v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2017	6/11/2017			Langdon and Emison
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00829-JNE-FLN</u></a>	White et al v. 3M Company et al	3/20/2017	6/18/2017			Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00887-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Morris et al v. 3M Company et al	3/24/2017	6/22/2017			McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00901-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Dean v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2017	6/25/2017			McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00971-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Lee v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2017	6/28/2017			Bailey Peavy Bailey Cowan Heckaman, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00977-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Saldana v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2017	6/28/2017			Bailey Peavy Bailey Cowan Heckaman, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00984-JNE-FLN</u></a>	West v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2017	6/28/2017			Bailey Peavy Bailey Cowan Heckaman, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-01027-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Warren v. 3M Company et al	4/3/2017	7/2/2017			Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (D.E. 270)

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss

**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02936-JNE-FLN</a>	Bryson v. 3M Company	1/19/2017	2/9/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17; 6/8/17 Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 7.04.17 (D.E. 590)	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01986-JNE-FLN</a>	Harkleroad v. 3M Company et al	1/19/2017	2/9/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17; 6/8/17 Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 7.04.17 (D.E. 590)	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04288-JNE-FLN</a>	Johnson v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	5/9/2017	6/8/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04290-JNE-FLN</a>	Kauanui v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	5/9/2017	6/8/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02299-JNE-FLN</a>	Redman et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017	6/8/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04418-JNE-FLN</a>	Burks v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017	6/8/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04412-JNE-FLN</a>	Gill v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017	6/8/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04363-JNE-FLN</a>	Owens-Williams v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	6/6/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00435-JNE-FLN</a>	Warren v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017	6/21/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00515-JNE-FLN</a>	Niksic v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017	7/3/2017		Skikos Crawford Skikos & Joseph

Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02723-JNE-FLN</a>	Tucker v. 3M Company	3/23/2017	4/13/2017	6/8/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00826-JNE-FLN</a>	Starnes v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2017	5/29/2017	6/8/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04139-JNE-FLN</a>	Stone v. 3M Company et al	5/15/2017	6/5/2017		Farr, Farr, Emerich, Hackett, Carr & Holmes, P.A.

**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02394-JNE-FLN</a>	Murphy et al v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017	5/8/2017	6/8/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01829-JNE-FLN</a>	Blowe v. 3M Company, et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03037-JNE-FLN</a>	Lupo v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02481-JNE-FLN</a>	Magee v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02661-JNE-FLN</a>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02626-JNE-FLN</a>	Buttacavoli v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02374-JNE-FLN</a>	Upton v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02156-JNE-FLN</a>	Busby v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01847-JNE-FLN</a>	Rivers v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01774-JNE-FLN</a>	Zenner v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01338-JNE-FLN</a>	Hartzel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00990-JNE-FLN</a>	Stinson v. 3M Company	5/1/2017	5/22/2017	6/8/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02787-JNE-FLN</a>	Hood et al v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2017	5/24/2017	6/8/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03855-JNE-FLN</a>	Brown et al v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017	6/21/2017		McSweeney/Langevin LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on one or two status conference agendas per PTO 14  
 Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:16-cv-02284-JNE-FLN	Brumback v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-02285-JNE-FLN	Cash v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-00544-JNE-FLN	Chaix v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	6/9/2017	Michael Hingle & Associates, LLC
0:16-cv-01929-JNE-FLN	Davis v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-01963-JNE-FLN	Heath v. 3M Company	3/28/2017	6/9/2017	The Ruth Law Team
0:16-cv-00505-JNE-FLN	Jenkins v. 3M Company	3/28/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:16-cv-02600-JNE-FLN	Raines v. 3M Company et al.	3/28/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-00827-JNE-FLN	Stephan v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-02684-JNE-FLN	Thornburg v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	6/9/2017	Holton Law Firm
0:16-cv-00625-JNE-FLN	Van Wart et al v. 3M Company	3/28/2017	6/9/2017	Grynkevich Law Offices
0:16-cv-00246-JNE-FLN	Barfield v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-02973-JNE-FLN	Barnes v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-00250-JNE-FLN	Critari v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
0:16-cv-02322-JNE-FLN	Davis et al v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Gary S. Logsdon & Associates
0:16-cv-01778-JNE-FLN	Dinkins v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-03327-JNE-FLN	Garofolo v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-03802-JNE-FLN	Gorham v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-01239-JNE-FLN	Hill v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-02972-JNE-FLN	Leech v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-04040-JNE-FLN	Lukenbach v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-03502-JNE-FLN	Mattson v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Parker Waichman
0:16-cv-02663-JNE-FLN	McKevitt et al v. 3M Company Inc et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:16-cv-02711-JNE-FLN	Miller v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

0:16-cv-03803-JNE-FLN	Mitchell v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-02395-JNE-FLN	Nadeau v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:16-cv-01834-JNE-FLN	Newcomb v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:16-cv-02959-JNE-FLN	Novak v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:15-cv-04004-JNE-FLN	Peterson v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:16-cv-02212-JNE-FLN	Pettersen v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:15-cv-04360-JNE-FLN	Rhoton et al v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Pittman, Dutton & Hellums, PC
0:16-cv-03166	Schwartz, Bruce and Ilene	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Houssiere Durant Houssiere, LLP
0:16-cv-02196-JNE-FLN	Waddell v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Gary S. Logsdon & Associates
0:16-cv-02503-JNE-FLN	West v. 3M Company et al.	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Richardson, Patrick, Westbrook & Brickman, LLC
0:16-cv-01238-JNE-FLN	Zambriski v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:16-cv-03292-JNE-FLN	DeLeon et al v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Houssiere Durant Houssiere, LLP
0:16-cv-02750-JNE-FLN	Brannon v. 3M Company	4/10/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:16-cv-03272-JNE-FLN	Sparrow et al v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Parker Waichman
0:16-cv-02054-JNE-FLN	Trainer v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017	6/9/2017	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:16-cv-03501-JNE-FLN	Whatman et al v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017	6/9/2017	Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.
0:16-cv-02033-JNE-FLN	Anaya v. 3M Company, a Delaware Corporation	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Brent Coon & Associates
0:16-cv-02042-JNE-FLN	Asbury et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Brent Coon & Associates
0:16-cv-00607-JNE-FLN	Boyd v. 3M Company	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:15-cv-04493-JNE-FLN	Ciappa et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Parker Waichman

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

<u>0:16-cv-01970-JNE-FLN</u>	Harding v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:15-cv-03951-JNE-FLN</u>	Hernandez v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<u>0:16-cv-03083-JNE-FLN</u>	LeMaire, Sr. et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<u>0:16-cv-02953-JNE-FLN</u>	Morgan et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Brent Coon & Associates
<u>0:16-cv-02951-JNE-FLN</u>	O'Grady v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Brent Coon & Associates
<u>0:16-cv-03354-JNE-FLN</u>	Rivera v. 3M Company, et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	The Law Offices of Travis R Walker
<u>0:16-cv-02911-JNE-FLN</u>	Snider v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<u>0:16-cv-01958-JNE-FLN</u>	Baswell v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-02394-JNE-FLN</u>	Murphy et al v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017	6/9/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<u>0:16-cv-01935-JNE-FLN</u>	Williams v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-02350-JNE-FLN</u>	Jensen v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	6/9/2017	GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
<u>0:16-cv-00812-JNE-FLN</u>	Torok v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	6/9/2017	GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
<u>0:16-cv-01364-JNE-FLN</u>	Vernal v. 3M Company	4/18/2017	6/9/2017	Langdon and Emison
<u>0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN</u>	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Thering & Associates, PLLC
<u>0:16-cv-01829-JNE-FLN</u>	Blowe v. 3M Company, et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-02156-JNE-FLN</u>	Busby v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-02626-JNE-FLN</u>	Buttacavoli v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-02298-JNE-FLN</u>	Dandrea et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<u>0:16-cv-02661-JNE-FLN</u>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-02432-JNE-FLN</u>	Garner et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-01906-JNE-FLN</u>	Gunn et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-01943-JNE-FLN</u>	Hamel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<u>0:16-cv-02483-JNE-FLN</u>	Harper v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:16-cv-01338-JNE-FLN</u>	Hartzel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

<a href="#">0:16-cv-02428-JNE-FLN</a>	King v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02226-JNE-FLN</a>	Kunath v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Jones Ward PLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03037-JNE-FLN</a>	Lupo v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02481-JNE-FLN</a>	Magee v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01968-JNE-FLN</a>	Phillips v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03444-JNE-FLN</a>	Pugh v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Davis Crump, P.C.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01847-JNE-FLN</a>	Rivers v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01846-JNE-FLN</a>	Tate v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02374-JNE-FLN</a>	Upton v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01774-JNE-FLN</a>	Zenner v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	6/9/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01299-JNE-FLN</a>	Chambers et al v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Loncar & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00514-JNE-FLN</a>	Chavers v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02213-JNE-FLN</a>	Chenoweth v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-04001-JNE-FLN</a>	Colson v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03244-JNE-FLN</a>	Deal et al v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Randall J. Trost, P.C.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02998-JNE-FLN</a>	Ferrante v. 3M Company, et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02658-JNE-FLN</a>	Goble v. 3M Company, et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03329-JNE-FLN</a>	Gray v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02812-JNE-FLN</a>	Hall v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03109-JNE-FLN</a>	Hrbek et al v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03541-JNE-FLN</a>	Johnson et al v. 3M Company, a Delaware Corporation et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Randall J. Trost, P.C.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03703-JNE-FLN</a>	Maxfield v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01199-JNE-FLN</a>	McCann v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

0:16-cv-02000-JNE-FLN	McMillan v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-01917-JNE-FLN	Minghetti v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Hurley McKenna & Mertz, PC
0:16-cv-00788-JNE-FLN	Petty v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:16-cv-00675-JNE-FLN	Pierce v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:16-cv-02710-JNE-FLN	Robinson v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:16-cv-00516-JNE-FLN	Simpson v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-01153-JNE-FLN	Surgeon v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:16-cv-01155-JNE-FLN	Sutter v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
0:16-cv-02657-JNE-FLN	Waters v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-03110-JNE-FLN	Dickens v. 3M Company et al.	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-02585-JNE-FLN	Elliott et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Loncar & Associates
0:16-cv-01795-JNE-FLN	Griffith v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:16-cv-01314-JNE-FLN	Holznagel et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Loncar & Associates
0:16-cv-01424-JNE-FLN	Hunter v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Loncar & Associates
0:16-cv-01259-JNE-FLN	Lance v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-03279-JNE-FLN	McGlothlin et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:16-cv-03168-JNE-FLN	McKenney v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Loncar & Associates
0:16-cv-00401-JNE-FLN	McLane v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-03062-JNE-FLN	Moses v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:16-cv-03906-JNE-FLN	Offord et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Loncar & Associates
0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN	Redford v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:16-cv-00517-JNE-FLN	Ryan v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-01294-JNE-FLN	Stanley v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Loncar & Associates

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

0:16-cv-00821-JNE-FLN	Stewart v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
0:16-cv-00841-JNE-FLN	Vann v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-02957-JNE-FLN	Zivanovich v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
0:16-cv-02605-JNE-FLN	Forney v. 3M Company et al	5/1/2017	6/9/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
0:16-cv-00990-JNE-FLN	Stinson v. 3M Company	5/1/2017	6/9/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
0:16-cv-02787-JNE-FLN	Hood et al v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2017	6/9/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
0:16-cv-00838-JNE-FLN	Plumley v. 3M Company	5/3/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-00839-JNE-FLN	Smith v. 3M Company	5/3/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
0:16-cv-04097-JNE-FLN	Baldwin et al v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2017	6/9/2017	Rieders Travis Humphrey Waters & Dohrmann
0:15-cv-03899-JNE-FLN	Hardison v. 3M Company et al	5/15/2017	6/9/2017	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:16-cv-02805-JNE-FLN	King et al v. 3M Company et al	5/15/2017	6/9/2017	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:16-cv-02880-JNE-FLN	Brunner v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-01945-JNE-FLN	Couce v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02338-JNE-FLN	Duval v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02886-JNE-FLN	Epps v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-00533-JNE-FLN	Furgason v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-00542-JNE-FLN	Haines et al v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02591-JNE-FLN	Hebert v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-03772-JNE-FLN	Hogue v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	6/9/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-00057-JNE-FLN	Irby v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02189-JNE-FLN	Kampf et al v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-03618-JNE-FLN	Messner-Katzer v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	6/9/2017	Capretz & Associates
0:16-cv-02500-JNE-FLN	Pastor v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02194-JNE-FLN	Read et al v. Arizant Healthcare Inc., et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Jones Ward PLC

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated July 14, 2017)

<a href="#">0:16-cv-01899-JNE-FLN</a>	Riley v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	6/9/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02546-JNE-FLN</a>	Walker v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03854-JNE-FLN</a>	Bass v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017	6/9/2017	McSweeney/Langevin LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03855-JNE-FLN</a>	Brown et al v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017	6/9/2017	McSweeney/Langevin LLC
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00503-JNE-FLN</a>	Carter v. 3M Company et al	6/1/2017	6/9/2017	Hollis Legal Solutions, PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04054-JNE-FLN</a>	Miller v. 3M Company et al	6/23/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03736-JNE-FLN</a>	Printup v. 3M Company et al	6/28/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04154-JNE-FLN</a>	Pavlovic v. 3M Company	6/29/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04154-JNE-FLN</a>	Pavlovic v. 3M Company	6/30/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04161-JNE-FLN</a>	Bond v. 3M Company	6/30/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04244-JNE-FLN</a>	Meyers v. 3M Company	6/30/2017	6/9/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04057-JNE-FLN</a>	Gillespie v. 3M Company et al	6/30/2017	6/9/2017	Capretz & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04390-JNE-FLN</a>	Fox v. 3M Company	6/30/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03991-JNE-FLN</a>	Overko v. 3M Company	6/30/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04053-JNE-FLN</a>	Hellard v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03708-JNE-FLN</a>	Goodson v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017	6/9/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04361-JNE-FLN</a>	Winston v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017	6/9/2017	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03283-JNE-FLN</a>	Daniels, Jr. v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017		Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04053-JNE-FLN</a>	Hellard v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01889-JNE-FLN</a>	Seigfried et al v. 3M Company	7/6/2017		The Miller Firm LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00518-JNE-FLN</a>	Thompson v. 3M Company	7/7/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00056-JNE-FLN</a>	Hanley v. 3M Company	7/12/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated July 14, 2017)

Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Sent		Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04374-JNE-FLN</a>	Elhard v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04416-JNE-FLN</a>	Lewis v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		Hollis Legal Solutions, PLLC
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01134-JNE-FLN</a>	Newman v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00479-JNE-FLN</a>	Paul v. 3M Company	6/12/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04379-JNE-FLN</a>	Ploessl et al v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		The Miller Firm, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04372-JNE-FLN</a>	Rochetto et al v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04371-JNE-FLN</a>	Rodenborn et al v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04419-JNE-FLN</a>	Thomas et al v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04411-JNE-FLN</a>	Thomas v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017		Hollis Legal Solutions, PLLC
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00088-JNE-FLN</a>	Malinski v. 3M Company et al	6/19/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04357-JNE-FLN</a>	Murdock et al v. 3M Company et al	6/20/2017		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00248-JNE-FLN</a>	Barbeau v. 3M Company et al	6/21/2017		Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04422-JNE-FLN</a>	McGalliard v. 3M Company et al	6/21/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04323-JNE-FLN</a>	Raymond et al v. 3M Company et al	6/23/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00039-JNE-FLN</a>	Wollam v. 3M Company et al	6/23/2017		Raizner Slania LLP
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00407-JNE-FLN</a>	Watson et al v. 3M Company et al	6/26/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00579-JNE-FLN</a>	DeNeve v. 3M Company et al	6/30/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00383-JNE-FLN</a>	Mitchell v. 3M Company et al	6/30/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03998-JNE-FLN</a>	Flowers et al v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03934-JNE-FLN</a>	Hougen v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017		Walters Law Firm, LLC
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00436-JNE-FLN</a>	Ondayko v. 3M Company et al	7/10/2017		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated July 14, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00264-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Williams v. 3M Company	7/11/2017		Raizner Slania LLP
--	------------------------	-----------	--	--------------------

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (D.E. 270)

# EXHIBIT E

**From:** Ben Hulse  
**Sent:** Friday, August 11, 2017 4:31 PM  
**To:** 'JoanEricksen\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <[JoanEricksen\\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)>;  
'Noel\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <[Noel\\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)>  
**Cc:** Jerry Blackwell <[blackwell@blackwellburke.com](mailto:blackwell@blackwellburke.com)>; 'Ahmann, Bridget M.'  
<[Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com](mailto:Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com)>; 'gzimmerman@meshbesher.com'  
<[gzimmerman@meshbesher.com](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesher.com)>; 'bgordon@levinlaw.com' <[bgordon@levinlaw.com](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com)>;  
'MVC@ciresiconlin.com' <[MVC@ciresiconlin.com](mailto:MVC@ciresiconlin.com)>; 'JMC@CiresiConlin.com' <[JMC@CiresiConlin.com](mailto:JMC@CiresiConlin.com)>;  
Monica L. Davies <[mdavies@blackwellburke.com](mailto:mdavies@blackwellburke.com)>  
**Subject:** Bair Hugger, MDL 2666 -- Defendants' lists of PFS disputes for August 17 status

Dear Judge Ericksen and Judge Noel,

In advance of next Thursday's status conference, Defendants submit the attached spreadsheets of Plaintiff Fact Sheet disputes, as provided by PTO 14, paragraph 7, Dkt. No. 117.

Best regards,  
Ben Hulse  
Counsel for Defendants

Benjamin W. Hulse  
Blackwell Burke P.A.  
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500  
Minneapolis, MN 55415  
Direct (612) 343-3256  
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
(As of August 10, 2017)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Comments	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00188-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Stouffer v. 3M Company et al	1/20/2017	4/20/2017	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00299-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Raymond v. 3M Company Inc et al	1/30/2017	4/30/2017	Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00597-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Perez v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2017	5/28/2017	Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04353-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Butkus v. 3M Company et al	12/27/2016	4/21/2017	Extension granted to 4/21/17 but no PFS received; subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00350-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sanders v. 3M Company et al	2/2/2017	5/3/2017	Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	The Miller Firm, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00829-JNE-FLN</u></a>	White et al v. 3M Company et al	3/20/2017	6/18/2017		7/14/2017	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00971-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Lee v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2017	6/28/2017		7/14/2017	Bailey Peavy Bailey Cowan Heckaman, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00977-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Saldana v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2017	6/28/2017		7/14/2017	Bailey Peavy Bailey Cowan Heckaman, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00984-JNE-FLN</u></a>	West v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2017	6/28/2017		7/14/2017	Bailey Peavy Bailey Cowan Heckaman, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-01027-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Warren v. 3M Company et al	4/3/2017	7/2/2017		7/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00767-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Garger et al v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2017	6/11/2017		7/14/2017	Langdon and Emison
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00887-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Morris et al v. 3M Company et al	3/24/2017	6/22/2017		7/14/2017	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00901-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Dean v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2017	6/25/2017		7/14/2017	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton

**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
 (As of August 10, 2017)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Comments	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01441-JNE-FLN</a>	Morgan v. 3M Company et al	5/1/2017	7/30/2017			Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01248-JNE-FLN</a>	Updegraff v. 3M Corporation et al	4/19/2017	7/18/2017			Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01493-JNE-FLN</a>	Grussing v. 3M Company et al	5/5/2017	8/3/2017			Todd N. Hendrickson, P.C.
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01211-JNE-FLN</a>	Torio v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	7/17/2017			Sanders Phillips Grossman
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01264-JNE-FLN</a>	Lewis v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	7/19/2017			Sanders Phillips Grossman
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01413-JNE-FLN</a>	Jordan v. 3M Company et al	4/28/2017	7/27/2017			Sanders Phillips Grossman
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01153-JNE-FLN</a>	Wills v. 3M Company et al	4/13/2017	7/12/2017			Sanders Phillips Grossman
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01183-JNE-FLN</a>	Triplett v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017	7/16/2017			Sanders Phillips Grossman
<a href="#">0:17-cv-01460-JNE-FLN</a>	Surlow v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2017	7/31/2017			Sanders Phillips Grossman, LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (D.E. 270)

Pink highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on the Court's agenda twice and Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed is pending

**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
 (Updated August 10, 2017)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04412-JNE-FLN</a>	Gill v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017 Subject of Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04288-JNE-FLN</a>	Johnson, Anita v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	5/9/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04290-JNE-FLN</a>	Kauanui v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	5/9/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00435-JNE-FLN</a>	Warren v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017	6/21/2017	7/14/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03998-JNE-FLN</a>	Flowers et al v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017	7/26/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04357-JNE-FLN</a>	Murdock et al v. 3M Company et al	6/20/2017	7/11/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00826-JNE-FLN</a>	Starnes v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2017	5/29/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017 Subject of Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss	Kirtland & Packard LLP

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02156-JNE-FLN</a>	Busby v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017 Subject of Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02661-JNE-FLN</a>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017 Subject of Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01970-JNE-FLN</a>	Harding v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	5/2/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01338-JNE-FLN</a>	Hartzel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017 Subject of Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
(Updated August 10, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01847-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Rivers v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017 Subject of Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02374-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Upton v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017 Subject of Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01774-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Zenner v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	5/10/2017	6/8/2017; 7/14/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on one or two status conference agendas per PTO 14

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
**(Updated August 10, 2017)**

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01929-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017 07/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02663-JNE-FLN</u></a>	McKevitt et al v. 3M Company Inc et al	4/5/2017	06/09/2017 07/14/2017	Brown & Crouppen, PC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02750-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Brannon v. 3M Company	4/10/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017; 7/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03272-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sparrow et al v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017; 6/09/2017 07/14/2017	Parker Waichman
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02054-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Trainer v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00625-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Van Wart et al v. 3M Company	4/10/2017	06/09/2017 07/14/2017	Grynkevich Law Offices
<a href="#"><u>0:15-cv-04493-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Ciappa et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	06/09/2017 07/14/2017	Parker Waichman
<a href="#"><u>0:15-cv-03951-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hernandez v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02911-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Snider v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01364-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Vernal v. 3M Company	4/18/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Langdon and Emison
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Thering & Associates, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02156-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Busby v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02298-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Dandrea et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02661-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01338-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hartzel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02428-JNE-FLN</u></a>	King v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03444-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Pugh v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Davis Crump, P.C.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
**(Updated August 10, 2017)**

<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01847-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Rivers v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02374-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Upton v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01774-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Zenner v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00514-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Chavers v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02213-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Chenoweth v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:15-cv-03703-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Maxfield v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02000-JNE-FLN</u></a>	McMillan v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00675-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Pierce v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00516-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Simpson v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01155-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sutter v. 3M Company	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02657-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Waters v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02711-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Miller v. 3M Company	4/21/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01834-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Newcomb v. 3M Company	4/21/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02959-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Novak v. 3M Company	4/21/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
**(Updated August 10, 2017)**

<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02212-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Pettersen v. 3M Company	4/21/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017 07/14/2017; Subject of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/03/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03110-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Dickens v. 3M Company et al.	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01259-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Lance v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03279-JNE-FLN</u></a>	McGlothlin et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00401-JNE-FLN</u></a>	McLane v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03062-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Moses v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Redford v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00517-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Ryan v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00821-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Stewart v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00841-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Vann v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02957-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Zivanovich v. 3M Company	4/24/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00839-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Smith v. 3M Company	5/3/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:15-cv-03899-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hardison v. 3M Company et al	5/15/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03618-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Messner-Katzer v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Capretz & Associates
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02194-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Read et al v. Arizant Healthcare Inc., et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017; 6/09/2017 07/14/2017	Jones Ward PLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03854-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Bass v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	McSweeney/Langevin LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04054-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Miller v. 3M Company et al	6/23/2017	7/14/2017	Gozza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04161-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Bond v. 3M Company	6/30/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04244-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Meyers v. 3M Company	6/30/2017	6/9/2017 07/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
**(Updated August 10, 2017)**

Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-01134-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Newman v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017	7/14/2017	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00515-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Niksic v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017	7/14/2017	Skikos Crawford Skikos & Joseph
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04411-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Thomas v. 3M Company et al	6/12/2017	7/14/2017	Hollis Legal Solutions, PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04357-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Murdock et al v. 3M Company et al	6/20/2017	7/14/2017	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03998-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Flowers et al v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2017	7/14/2017	Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04417-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Coleman v. 3M Company et al	7/26/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on one or two status conference agendas per PTO 14 (D.E. 270).  
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

# **EXHIBIT F**

**From:** Ben Hulse  
**Sent:** Friday, June 09, 2017 4:55 PM  
**To:** [JoanEricksen\\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov); 'Noel\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov'  
[Noel Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov); 'Leary, William (Judge)' <[William.Leary@courts.state.mn.us](mailto:William.Leary@courts.state.mn.us)>  
**Cc:** Jerry Blackwell <[blackwell@blackwellburke.com](mailto:blackwell@blackwellburke.com)>; Ahmann, Bridget M.  
[Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com](mailto:Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com); [gzimmerman@meshbesher.com](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesher.com); [bgordon@levinlaw.com](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com);  
[MVC@ciresiconlin.com](mailto:MVC@ciresiconlin.com); [JMC@CiresiConlin.com](mailto:JMC@CiresiConlin.com)  
**Subject:** Joint Agenda and Status Report (MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLN))

Dear Judge Ericksen and Magistrate Judge Noel,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, the parties submit this Joint Agenda and Status Conference Report in preparation for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, June 15, at 9:30 a.m. Also attached are Defendants' three lists related to the PFSs, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda.

We have copied Judge Leary here as well.

Sincerely,  
Ben Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse  
Blackwell Burke P.A.  
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500  
Minneapolis, MN 55415  
Direct (612) 343-3256  
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
(As of June 8, 2017)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	PFS Due Date	Comments	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02803-JNE-FLN</a>	Johnson v. 3M Company et al	8/19/2016	12/26/2016	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02896-JNE-FLN</a>	Toler et al v. 3M Company et al	8/26/2016	12/26/2016	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02755-JNE-FLN</a>	Fraley v. 3M Company et al	8/16/2016	12/26/2016	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02772-JNE-FLN</a>	Wilburn v. 3M Company et al	8/18/2016	12/26/2016	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00136-JNE-FLN</a>	Hager v. 3M Company	1/21/2016	12/26/2016	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03383-JNE-FLN</a>	DePriest v. 3M Company et al	10/6/2016	1/4/2017	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03553-JNE-FLN</a>	Barrett v. 3M Company et al	10/18/2016	1/16/2017	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00621-JNE-FLN</a>	Weimer v. 3M Company	3/10/2016	1/27/2017	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03781-JNE-FLN</a>	Fling et al v. 3M Company et al	10/31/2016	1/29/2017	Subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424)	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04360-JNE-FLN</a>	Spaich et al v. 3M Company et al	12/27/2016	3/27/2017		4/14/2017	McGlynn, Glissoin & Mouton
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04381-JNE-FLN</a>	Manzanares v. 3M Company et al	12/28/2016	3/28/2017		4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00188-JNE-FLN</a>	Stouffer v. 3M Company et al	1/20/2017	4/20/2017			Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04353-JNE-FLN</a>	Butkus v. 3M Company et al	12/27/2016	4/21/2017	Extension granted to 4/21/17 but no PFS received		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00299-JNE-FLN</a>	Raymond v. 3M Company Inc et al	1/30/2017	4/30/2017			Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00305-JNE-FLN</a>	Whightsel et al v. 3M Company et al	1/31/2017	5/1/2017			Piscitelli Law Firm
<a href="#">0:17-cv-00331-JNE-FLN</a>	Terrell, Sr. et al v. 3M Company et al	2/1/2017	5/2/2017			Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.

**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
 (As of June 8, 2017)

<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00350-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Sanders v. 3M Company et al	2/2/2017	5/3/2017			The Miller Firm, LLC
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00386-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Gartner et al v. 3M Company et al	2/3/2017	5/4/2017			Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00413-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Swank et al v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2017	5/8/2017			Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00434-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Reid v. 3M Company et al	2/9/2017	5/10/2017			Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><b>0:16-cv-04369-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Daniels Jr. v. 3M Company et al	12/28/2016	5/13/2017	Extension granted to 5/13/17 but no PFS received		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00408-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Rudolph v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2017	5/15/2017			Brous Law LLC
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00553-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Mixon et al v. 3M Company et al	2/22/2017	5/23/2017			Houssiere Durant Houssiere, LLP
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00554-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Bernardino et al v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2017	5/24/2017			Skikos Crawford Skikos & Joseph
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00597-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Perez v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2017	5/28/2017			Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00598-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Zeabart v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2017	5/28/2017			Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><b>0:17-cv-00609-JNE-FLN</b></a>	Reece et al v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2017	5/28/2017			Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (D.E. 270)

Pink highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on the Court's agenda twice and a Defense Motion to Dismiss filed on 5.10.17 (D.E. 424) is pending

**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
 (Updated June 8, 2017)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02936-JNE-FLN</a>	Bryson v. 3M Company	1/19/2017	2/9/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01986-JNE-FLN</a>	Harkleroad v. 3M Company et al	1/19/2017	2/9/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02083-JNE-FLN</a>	Hurst et al v. 3M Company et al	1/19/2017	2/9/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03058-JNE-FLN</a>	Kaelin v. 3M Company et al	1/19/2017	2/9/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02888-JNE-FLN</a>	Taylor et al v. 3M Company et al	1/20/2017	2/10/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00784-JNE-FLN</a>	Young v. 3M Company et al	1/20/2017	2/10/2017	3/13/17; 4/14/17	Kanuru Law Group
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04153-JNE-FLN</a>	Janowicz v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017	5/1/2017		Houssiere Durant Houssiere, LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04289-JNE-FLN</a>	Allen v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	5/9/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04288-JNE-FLN</a>	Johnson v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	5/9/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04290-JNE-FLN</a>	Kauanui v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017	5/9/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04287-JNE-FLN</a>	Grabbatin v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017	5/11/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02299-JNE-FLN</a>	Redman et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04418-JNE-FLN</a>	Burks v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04412-JNE-FLN</a>	Gill v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04359-JNE-FLN</a>	Dipietro et al v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2017	5/24/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton

Case Number	Title	2d Deficiency notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03329-JNE-FLN</a>	Libby v. 3M Company et al	3/8/2017	3/29/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02723-JNE-FLN</a>	Tucker v. 3M Company	3/23/2017	4/13/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00826-JNE-FLN</a>	Starnes v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2017	5/29/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP

**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
 (Updated June 8, 2017)

Case Number	Title	3d Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02284-JNE-FLN</a>	Brumback v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/18/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00250-JNE-FLN</a>	Critari v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/25/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03951-JNE-FLN</a>	Hernandez v. 3M Company et al	4/12/2017	5/3/2017		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01970-JNE-FLN</a>	Harding v. 3M Company et al	4/12/2017	5/3/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02394-JNE-FLN</a>	Murphy et al v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017	5/8/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00846-JNE-FLN</a>	Scott v. 3M Company	4/18/2017	5/9/2017		The Law Offices of Travis R Walker
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01829-JNE-FLN</a>	Blowe v. 3M Company, et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03037-JNE-FLN</a>	Lupo v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02481-JNE-FLN</a>	Magee v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02156-JNE-FLN</a>	Busby v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02626-JNE-FLN</a>	Buttacavoli v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02661-JNE-FLN</a>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01906-JNE-FLN</a>	Gunn et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01338-JNE-FLN</a>	Hartzel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02428-JNE-FLN</a>	King v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01847-JNE-FLN</a>	Rivers v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02374-JNE-FLN</a>	Upton v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01774-JNE-FLN</a>	Zenner v. 3M Company	4/19/2017	5/10/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01886-JNE-FLN</a>	Schlueter v 3M Company et al	4/24/2017	5/15/2017		Goldenberg Heller & Antognoli, P.C.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00990-JNE-FLN</a>	Stinson v. 3M Company	5/1/2017	5/22/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02605-JNE-FLN</a>	Forney v. 3M Company et al	5/1/2017	5/22/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.

**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
(Updated June 8, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02787-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hood et al v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2017	5/24/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
--	-----------------------------------	----------	-----------	--	------------------------------

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on one or two status conference agendas per PTO 14

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02684-JNE-FLN</a>	Thornburg v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017		Holton Law Firm
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00544-JNE-FLN</a>	Chaix v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017		Michael Hingle & Associates, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01963-JNE-FLN</a>	Heath v. 3M Company	3/28/2017		The Ruth Law Team
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00625-JNE-FLN</a>	Van Wart et al v. 3M Company	3/28/2017		Grynkevich Law Offices
<a href="#">0:15-cv-04601-JNE-FLN</a>	Mann v. 3M Company	3/28/2017	4/14/2017	Childers, Schlueter & Smith
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00505-JNE-FLN</a>	Jenkins v. 3M Company	3/28/2017	4/14/2017	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02284-JNE-FLN</a>	Brumback v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02285-JNE-FLN</a>	Cash v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02600-JNE-FLN</a>	Raines v. 3M Company et al.	3/28/2017	4/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00827-JNE-FLN</a>	Stephan v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01929-JNE-FLN</a>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2017	4/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02663-JNE-FLN</a>	McKevitt et al v. 3M Company Inc et al	4/4/2017		Brown & Crouppen, PC
<a href="#">0:15-cv-04360-JNE-FLN</a>	Rhoton et al v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Pittman, Dutton & Hellums, PC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00246-JNE-FLN</a>	Barfield v. 3M Company	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01238-JNE-FLN</a>	Zambriski v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02973-JNE-FLN</a>	Barnes v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01239-JNE-FLN</a>	Hill v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02972-JNE-FLN</a>	Leech v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03327-JNE-FLN</a>	Garofolo v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03802-JNE-FLN</a>	Gorham v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04040-JNE-FLN</a>	Lukenbach v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03502-JNE-FLN</a>	Mattson v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Parker Waichman
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02503-JNE-FLN</a>	West v. 3M Company et al.	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Richardson, Patrick, Westbrook & Brickman, LLC

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:15-cv-04004-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Peterson v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01832-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Maccarrone v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02711-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Miller v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02395-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Nadeau v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01834-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Newcomb v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02959-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Novak v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02212-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Pettersen v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03166</u></a>	Schwartz, Bruce and Ilene	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Houssiere Durant Houssiere, LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03292-JNE-FLN</u></a>	DeLeon et al v. 3M Company et al	4/14/2017	4/14/2017	Houssiere Durant Houssiere, LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02322-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Davis et al v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017	Gary S. Logsdon & Associates
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02196-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Waddell v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017	Gary S. Logsdon & Associates
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00250-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Critari v. 3M Company	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03803-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Mitchell v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01778-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Dinkins v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2017	4/14/2017	Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02054-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Trainer v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03272-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sparrow et al v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017	Parker Waichman
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02750-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Brannon v. 3M Company	4/10/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02752-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Clark v. 3M Company	4/10/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02687-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Davis v. 3M Company	4/10/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03501-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Whatman et al v. 3M Company et al	4/10/2017		Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#">0:16-cv-02033-JNE-FLN</a>	Anaya v. 3M Company, a Delaware Corporation	4/11/2017		Brent Coon & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02042-JNE-FLN</a>	Asbury et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		Brent Coon & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02953-JNE-FLN</a>	Morgan et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		Brent Coon & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02951-JNE-FLN</a>	O'Grady v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		Brent Coon & Associates
<a href="#">0:15-cv-04493-JNE-FLN</a>	Ciappa et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		Parker Waichman
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01587-JNE-FLN</a>	Castro v. 3M Company	4/11/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03950-JNE-FLN</a>	LeBlanc v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00607-JNE-FLN</a>	Boyd v. 3M Company	4/11/2017		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03354-JNE-FLN</a>	Rivera v. 3M Company, et al	4/11/2017		The Law Offices of Travis R Walker
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03952-JNE-FLN</a>	Dorsey v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		The Law Office of Travis R Walker
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03357-JNE-FLN</a>	Batkins v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02911-JNE-FLN</a>	Snider v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03083-JNE-FLN</a>	LeMaire, Sr. et al v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03951-JNE-FLN</a>	Hernandez v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01970-JNE-FLN</a>	Harding v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01958-JNE-FLN</a>	Baswell v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01935-JNE-FLN</a>	Williams v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02394-JNE-FLN</a>	Murphy et al v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00812-JNE-FLN</a>	Torok v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02350-JNE-FLN</a>	Jensen v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2017		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01364-JNE-FLN</a>	Vernal v. 3M Company	4/18/2017		Langdon and Emison
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00846-JNE-FLN</a>	Scott v. 3M Company	4/18/2017		The Law Offices of Travis R Walker
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03444-JNE-FLN</a>	Pugh v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Davis Crump, P.C.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN</a>	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Thering & Associates, PLLC

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#">0:16-cv-02226-JNE-FLN</a>	Kunath v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Jones Ward PLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02298-JNE-FLN</a>	Dandrea et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01943-JNE-FLN</a>	Hamel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02483-JNE-FLN</a>	Harper v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01968-JNE-FLN</a>	Phillips v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01846-JNE-FLN</a>	Tate v. 3M Company	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02432-JNE-FLN</a>	Garner et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01829-JNE-FLN</a>	Blowe v. 3M Company, et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03037-JNE-FLN</a>	Lupo v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02481-JNE-FLN</a>	Magee v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02156-JNE-FLN</a>	Busby v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02626-JNE-FLN</a>	Buttacavoli v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02661-JNE-FLN</a>	Davis v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01906-JNE-FLN</a>	Gunn et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01338-JNE-FLN</a>	Hartzel v. 3M Company	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02428-JNE-FLN</a>	King v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01847-JNE-FLN</a>	Rivers v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02374-JNE-FLN</a>	Upton v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01774-JNE-FLN</a>	Zenner v. 3M Company	4/19/2017		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02812-JNE-FLN</a>	Hall v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02000-JNE-FLN</a>	McMillan v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02998-JNE-FLN</a>	Ferrante v. 3M Company, et al	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02658-JNE-FLN</a>	Goble v. 3M Company, et al	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00514-JNE-FLN</a>	Chavers v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03109-JNE-FLN</a>	Hrbek et al v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#">0:16-cv-03329-JNE-FLN</a>	Gray v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02782-JNE-FLN</a>	Abbott v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01881-JNE-FLN</a>	Boughton et al v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-04278-JNE-FLN</a>	Carmichael v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02751-JNE-FLN</a>	Chautin v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02213-JNE-FLN</a>	Chenoweth v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02689-JNE-FLN</a>	Clark v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02958-JNE-FLN</a>	Cloud v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01188-JNE-FLN</a>	Collins v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-04001-JNE-FLN</a>	Colson v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02783-JNE-FLN</a>	Dalhover v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01221-JNE-FLN</a>	Daniel v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02679-JNE-FLN</a>	Davis v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03257-JNE-FLN</a>	Dielentheis v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00460-JNE-FLN</a>	Foster et al v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02601-JNE-FLN</a>	Glover v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01908-JNE-FLN</a>	Grandison v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#">0:16-cv-02784-JNE-FLN</a>	Haining v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01152-JNE-FLN</a>	Hollman v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00819-JNE-FLN</a>	Ibsen v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01192-JNE-FLN</a>	James v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01194-JNE-FLN</a>	Jeffers v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00798-JNE-FLN</a>	Kohout v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-04002-JNE-FLN</a>	Linscott v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02207-JNE-FLN</a>	Malone v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:15-cv-03703-JNE-FLN</a>	Maxfield v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01199-JNE-FLN</a>	McCann v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01999-JNE-FLN</a>	Nelson, Jr. v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02729-JNE-FLN</a>	Orias v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02612-JNE-FLN</a>	Pashano v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00675-JNE-FLN</a>	Pierce v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02710-JNE-FLN</a>	Robinson v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02611-JNE-FLN</a>	Silva v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01201-JNE-FLN</a>	Storckman v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00745-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Strike v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03051-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sullivan v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01153-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Surgeon v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01155-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sutter v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03254-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Warner v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00747-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Westenhofer v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02276-JNE-FLN</u></a>	White v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03469-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Harvey v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03789-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Goerlich v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01917-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Minghetti v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Hurley McKenna & Mertz, PC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01299-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Chambers et al v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Loncar & Associates
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00516-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Simpson v. 3M Company	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02657-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Waters v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00788-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Petty v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02323-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Acosta et al v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03244-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Deal et al v. 3M Company et al	4/20/2017		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03541-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Johnson et al v. 3M Company, a Delaware Corporation et al	4/20/2017		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00517-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Ryan v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00841-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Vann v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03110-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Dickens v. 3M Company et al.	4/24/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#">0:16-cv-00401-JNE-FLN</a>	McLane v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02055-JNE-FLN</a>	Redford v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00821-JNE-FLN</a>	Stewart v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02957-JNE-FLN</a>	Zivanovich v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02585-JNE-FLN</a>	Elliott et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Loncar & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01424-JNE-FLN</a>	Hunter v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Loncar & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03168-JNE-FLN</a>	McKenney v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Loncar & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01314-JNE-FLN</a>	Holznagel et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Loncar & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03906-JNE-FLN</a>	Offord et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Loncar & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00076-JNE-FLN</a>	Hayden v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02453-JNE-FLN</a>	Jones v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03062-JNE-FLN</a>	Moses v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-03279-JNE-FLN</a>	McGlothlin et al v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01035-JNE-FLN</a>	McMullen v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01294-JNE-FLN</a>	Stanley v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Loncar & Associates
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01795-JNE-FLN</a>	Griffith v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01259-JNE-FLN</a>	Lance v. 3M Company	4/24/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-01886-JNE-FLN</a>	Schlueter v 3M Company et al	4/24/2017		Goldenberg Heller & Antognoli, P.C.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00990-JNE-FLN</a>	Stinson v. 3M Company	5/1/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02605-JNE-FLN</a>	Forney v. 3M Company et al	5/1/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00838-JNE-FLN</a>	Plumley v. 3M Company	5/3/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-00839-JNE-FLN</a>	Smith v. 3M Company	5/3/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#">0:16-cv-02787-JNE-FLN</a>	Hood et al v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2017		Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
<a href="#">0:16-cv-04097-JNE-FLN</a>	Baldwin et al v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2017		Rieders Travis Humphrey Waters & Dohrmann

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02805-JNE-FLN</u></a>	King et al v. 3M Company et al	5/15/2017		Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:15-cv-03899-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hardison v. 3M Company et al	5/15/2017		Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02886-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Epps v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00533-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Furgason v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00542-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Haines et al v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02591-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hebert v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-00057-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Irby v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02189-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Kampf et al v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02338-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Duval v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02500-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Pastor v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02546-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Walker v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01945-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Couce v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02880-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Brunner v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017	3/13/2017; 4/14/2017	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-01899-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Riley v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03772-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hogue v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03470-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Tehauno v. 3M Company	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03618-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Messner-Katzer v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Capretz & Associates
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02194-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Read et al v. Arizant Healthcare Inc., et al	5/16/2017	4/14/2017	Jones Ward PLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-02808-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Sorrels et al v. 3M Company et al	5/22/2017		Bachus & Schanker, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04036-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Ward v. 3M Company et al	5/25/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03855-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Brown et al v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017		McSweeney/Langevin LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03854-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Bass v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2017		McSweeney/Langevin LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00503-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Carter v. 3M Company et al	6/1/2017		Hollis Legal Solutions, PLLC

Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Sent	Firm Name
-------------	-------	---------------------	-----------

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
 (Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04075-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Laveron v. 3M Company and Arizant Healthcare, Inc.	5/15/2017		Hurley McKenna & Mertz, PC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04139-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Stone v. 3M Company et al	5/15/2017		Farr, Farr, Emerich, Hackett, Carr & Holmes, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04053-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Hellard v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03991-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Overko v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04154-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Pavlovic v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04161-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Bond v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04204-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Schweikart v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04203-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Leahy v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04206-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Palmer v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04194-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Scott v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04244-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Meyers v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04283-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Myers v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04285-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Winham v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04390-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Fox v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03783-JNE-FLN</u></a>	White v. 3M Company	5/16/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04057-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Gillespie v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Capretz & Associates
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-03708-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Goodson v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Goza & Honnold, LLC
<a href="#"><u>0:16-cv-04361-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Winston v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated June 9, 2017)

<a href="#"><u>0:17-cv-00092-JNE-FLN</u></a>	Reed v. 3M Company	6/2/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
--	-----------------------	----------	--	---

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on one or two status conference agendas per PTO 14 (D.E. 270)